WOODLAND HIGH 4128 HWY 78 Dorchester, SC 29437 9-12 High School GRADES 712 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. Craig Drennon 843-563-5956 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Renee Mathews 843-563-4535 Dr. James Hodges 843-563-4535 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 4 10 6 5 1 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 9 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A
2003	Unsatisfactory	Good	No
2004	Good	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	65.1	N/A	N/A	65.1	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	15.7	N/A	N/A	17.5	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	19.2	N/A	N/A	20.6	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours

Percent 89.3% 92.6%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP								
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	11.5	5.1						
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	11.5	5.2						
Seniors who met the grade point average	15.3	34.9						

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	141	160		
Number of Diplomas	106	115		
Rate	75.2%	72.6%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate					
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	122	89.3	131	11.5	141	75.2	YES			
Gender										
Male	66	90.9	68	4.4	74	73.0	N/A			
Female	55	87.3	63	19.0	67	77.6	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	28	100.0	39	20.5	29	79.3	N/A			
African-American	88	87.5	88	8.0	108	74.1				
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
Hispanic	2	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	2	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	116	89.7	130	11.5	121	83.5	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	6	83.3	1	I/S	20	25.0	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	119	89.1	131	11.5	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	119	89.1	131	11.5	124	75.8	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	64	82.8	102	7.8	88	72.7	N/A			
Full-pay meals	56	96.4	29	24.1	53	79.2	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE						_			-
	Enrollment 1st	" Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Particinati
	Enrolly, Day of 3	- 1 % - 1 %	% Belo	/ %	/ % Prc	% Aq.	% Profit	Perfor Objecti	Partic
	ish/Langua	ge Arts - S	State Perf	ormance		= 33.3%			
All Students	191	90.6	20.8	38.2	31.2	9.8	50.9	YES	N
Gender	400	00.0	00.0	40.4	00.0	5.0	44.0	NI/A	N
Male	100	89.0 92.3	23.6	40.4	30.3 32.1	5.6	44.9	N/A	N.
Female	91	92.3	17.9	35.7	32.1	14.3	57.1	N/A	IN.
Racial/Ethnic Group White	57	91.2	7.7	40.4	38.5	13.5	67.3	YES	N
African-American	130	91.2	26.1	37.8	27.7	8.4	43.7	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	91.5 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	IN
Hispanic	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	1/S	i
American Indian/Alaskan	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	i
Disability Status	7	1/5	1/5	1/0	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	
Not Disabled	163	92.6	14.6	39.7	35.1	10.6	55.6	N/A	N
Disabled	28	78.6	63.6	27.3	4.5	4.5	18.2	I/S	
Migrant Status		7 0.0	00.0	21.0	1.0	1.0	10.2	1,0	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	191	90.6	20.8	38.2	31.2	9.8	50.9	N/A	N
English Proficiency		00.0	20.0	00.2	0.1.2	0.0	00.0	1471	
imited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	191	90.6	20.8	38.2	31.2	9.8	50.9	N/A	N
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	133	88.7	23.7	39.8	28.0	8.5	48.3	YES	N
Full-pay meals	58	94.8	14.5	34.5	38.2	12.7	56.4	N/A	N
	Mathematic	rs - State	Performa	nce Ohie	ctive = 30	0%			
All Students	191	89.0	30.6	39.4	24.7	5.3	42.4	YES	N
Gender	101	00.0	00.0	00.1	21.7	0.0	12.1	120	
Male	100	87.0	35.6	33.3	25.3	5.7	42.5	N/A	N
Female	91	91.2	25.3	45.8	24.1	4.8	42.2	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group				1010					
White	57	91.2	19.2	34.6	32.7	13.5	61.5	YES	N
African-American	130	89.2	35.3	41.4	21.6	1.7	34.5	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	- 1
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	- 1
American Indian/Alaskan	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	163	91.4	24.8	42.3	26.8	6.0	47.0	N/A	N
Disabled	28	75.0	71.4	19.0	9.5	N/A	9.5	I/S	I
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	191	89.0	30.6	39.4	24.7	5.3	42.4	N/A	N
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	- 1
Non-Limited English Proficient	191	89.0	30.6	39.4	24.7	5.3	42.4	N/A	N
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	133	87.2	29.3	44.0	24.1	2.6	38.8	YES	N
Full-pay meals	58	93.1	33.3	29.6	25.9	11.1	50.0	N/A	N

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Woodland High				1804019
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 712)				
Retention rate	12.1%	Down from 13.3%	10.4%	9.1%
Attendance rate	95.1%	Up from 91.2%	95.9%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	3.6%	5.8%
Vith disabilities other than speech	15.1%	Up from 12.0%	14.0%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade	12.4%	Down from 13.7%	12.7%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.0%	Down from 8.9%	2.0%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	3.1%	Down from 3.9%	5.8%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV		45.8%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	1.9%	Down from 5.8%	2.9%	2.7%
areer/technology students in co-curricular organizations	4.0%	Up from 3.6%	4.1%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses		Down from 309	414	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	0.9%	No change	27.8%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	100.0%	Up from 72.5%	74.1%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.3%
eachers (n= 43)				
eachers with advanced degrees	55.8%	Up from 46.3%	43.6%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	81.4%	Up from 75.6%	79.4%	82.1%
lighly qualified teachers**	80.0%	N/A	87.2%	89.5%
eachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.5%		14.7%	8.6%
eachers returning from previous year	77.5%	Down from 78.4%	82.2%	86.2%
eacher attendance rate	92.7%	Down from 92.9%	95.2%	95.3%
verage teacher salary	\$42,999	Up 1.6%	\$39,201	\$41,060
rof. development days/teacher	11.4 days	Down from 14.7 days	10.7 days	10.6 days
chool				
Principal's years at school	1.0	No change	2.0	3.0
tudent-teacher ratio in core subjects	24.4 to 1	Up from 22.6 to 1	24.6 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	84.7%	Up from 83.0%	90.0%	90.0%
ollars spent per pupil*	\$6,744	Down 2.3%	\$6,773	\$6,310
ercent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	51.5%	Down from 53.6%	55.2%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	2.2%	Down from 94.0%	85.3%	89.3%
ACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Below Average	N/A	Average	Good
,		Our District	St	ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	N/A		.0%
lighly qualified teachers in high povert		91.9%		.1%
g, quaimou touorioro irringir povort	., 00110010	State Objective		Objective
lighly qualified teachers in this school	k*	65.0%	Y	es
		05.007		L.

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

No

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

During the 2003-2004 school year, Woodland High School served approximately 725 students of St. George, Harleyville-Ridgeville, and the surrounding communities of Dorchester County.

A principal specialist, a curriculum specialist, and an English content specialist began to work with WHS students and faculty in August of 2003. There has been a strengthening of commitment by our administration and faculty to improve the academic performance of our students and address other school factors that effect student well being. Strong leadership has emerged from Woodland's faculty. Through participation in the Faculty Council and the Building Leadership Team, teachers and staff took a renewed ownership in the school that will have a positive impact on student achievement. We encouraged the input and support of parents as well as others in the community, and parents took a greater role in school decisions that impact their children.

On October 21, 2003, WHS received full accreditation by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS), and the External Review Team of the SC Department of Education commended WHS on it efforts at improving student achievement. School improvement initiatives included:

- —The development of curriculum and pacing guides correlated with state standards and course objectives.
- —A rigorous professional development plan was implemented, inclusive of all faculty and staff, and relevant to our goal of improving classroom instruction and increasing student achievement.
- —Grade level parent meetings and parent participation in School Improvement Council.
- —A credit recovery program allowing students to recover lost credits, improving promotion and graduation rates.
- —Initiation of the Sunset School in March of 2004 focusing on math, English, goal setting, and character education, it is offered for students who are on the verge of being expelled or dropping out and gives students "a second chance at a new beginning." The Sunset School also prepares students to successfully complete the GED and assists them in pursuing careers. WHS is seeking partnerships with Trident Tech, the County Department of Mental Health, and other businesses in this endeavor.

In the fall of 2003, Exit Exam scores for seniors at WHS were the highest ever recorded for our school. SAT scores improved as well with 15 seniors and 6 juniors scoring above 1000 and several seniors and juniors scoring over 1200 on the exam.

We are proud of our accomplishments this year and look forward to continuing to work to make our school and community the very best that it can be.

Dr. Craig Drennon Principal Specialist

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS									
Teachers Students* F									
Number of surveys returned	43	91	11						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	54.8%	57.1%	72.7%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	80.0%	70.1%	72.7%						
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 23.8% 75.6% 63.6%									
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.									